

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BALDWIN EMERGENCY MEDICAL)	
SERVICE, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 03-1007
)	
HIGHMARK, INC. and KEYSTONE)	
HEALTH PLAN WEST, d/b/a)	
SECURITYBLUE,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

MEMORANDUM ORDER

Gary L. Lancaster,
District Judge.

June 30, 2006

This case involves a billing dispute between plaintiffs ("Baldwin EMS, et al."), who are providers of ambulance services, and defendants ("Highmark and KHPW" or "SecurityBlue"), a Medicare HMO. Plaintiffs originally filed their complaint on July 7, 2003. In short, plaintiffs claim that they are entitled to "balance bill," i.e. to collect their full rates, for services provided to defendants' enrollees rather than accept the lower, Medicare-approved rates for services. Through this action, plaintiffs attempt to collect unpaid fees from defendants. Defendants, citing to Pennsylvania's Health Care Practitioners Medicare Fee Control Act ("MOM's Act"), 35 P.S. § 449.31 et seq., claim that they were only required to reimburse plaintiff the lower, Medicare-approved rates. Before the court is the parties'

joint motion to approve settlement agreement. For the reasons discussed below, the motion will be granted.

I. BACKGROUND

By Order dated January 30, 2004, the court granted the Named Class Representatives' Revised Motion for Class Certification. In so holding, the court necessarily found that this action meets the prerequisites for a class action as specified in Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The court defined the class members as follows:

Class Definition: All Western Pennsylvania ambulance service providers who, on a non-contract basis with the Defendants...provided ambulance services to Defendants' Security Blue beneficiaries. The relevant period during which such services were provided is January 1, 1997 to April 1, 2002.

On March 23, 2006, after litigating the case for three years, the Named Class Representatives and defendants reached a tentative settlement agreement, and memorialized their agreement in the form of a "Stipulation of Settlement," dated April 28, 2006. The proposed settlement includes defendant Highmark's creation of a settlement fund of \$10 million to pay the class plaintiffs proportionate shares of their potential damages. The proposed settlement also includes Highmark's offer to all class plaintiffs to enter into contracts with Highmark to participate in its ambulance provider network.

On May 3, 2006, the court preliminarily approved the proposed settlement set forth in the Stipulation as fair, reasonable, and adequate to the class, subject to the right of any Class Member to challenge the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Stipulation and to show cause, if any exists, why a final judgment dismissing claims based on the Stipulation should not be entered at today's Fairness Hearing. In conformity with this court's May 3, 2006 Order, notice was properly sent to all members of the Class between the dates of May 12, 2006 and May 25, 2006. After proper notice, no members of the Class have asked to opt out of the settlement reached between the Named Class Representatives and defendants. Moreover, having received proper notice, no Class members have objected either to the settlement, or to the attorneys' fees requested by Class Counsel. The date by which parties were to either opt out or file objections was by June 16, 2006. As previously stated, no objections were filed and not a single entity opted out.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

Courts have discretion in approving the settlement of class actions and will endorse a settlement if it is "fair, adequate and reasonable." Eichenholtz v. Brennan, 52 F.3d 478, 482 (3d Cir. 1995) (quoting Walsh v. Great Atlantic & Pacific Telephone Co., Inc., 726 F.2d 956, 965 (3d Cir. 1983)). Several factors must be considered in approving the settlement. These

include the following: (1) the complexity and likely duration of the litigation; (2) the reaction of the class to the settlement; (3) the stage of the proceedings and the amount of discovery completed; (4) the risks of establishing liability; (5) the risks of establishing damages; (6) the risks of maintaining the class action through the trial; (7) the ability of the defendants to withstand a greater judgment; (8) the range of reasonableness of the settlement fund in light of the best possible recovery; and (9) the range of reasonableness of the settlement fund to a possible recovery in light of all the attendant risks of litigation. Eichenholtz, 52 F.3d at 488 (quoting Girsh v. Jepson, 521 F.2d 153, 156 (3d Cir. 1975)). The district court must explain its reasons for approving a class action settlement agreement in order to provide for meaningful appellate review. Id.

III. DISCUSSION

In this case, a review of the factors set forth above establishes that this settlement agreement should be approved. We will address each in turn.

A. Complexity, Expense, and Likely Duration of the Litigation

First, the court must consider "the probable costs, in both time and money, of continued litigation." In re Cendant Corp. Litig., F.3d 201, 233 (3d Cir. 2001) (quoting In re General

Motors Corp. Pick-Up Truck Fuel Tank Prod. Liab. Litig., 55 F.3d 768, 812 (3d Cir. 1995)). The purpose of considering this factor is to determine the extent of the benefit that would be gained from settling the claim amicably. In re General Motors Corp., 55 F.3d at 812.

This complex case involves sophisticated issues of law and proof on liability and damages. Moreover, there is very little case law on the issues raised in this case. Continuation of this litigation would no doubt have involved lengthy and costly motion practice and a complex trial. By reaching a settlement at this time, the parties have avoided unnecessary expenses on both sides and have avoided the expenditure of precious judicial resources.

B. The Reaction of the Class to the Settlement

This factor "attempts to gauge whether members of the class support the settlement." In re Safety Components Inc. Sec. Litig., 166 F. Supp. 2d 72, at 85 (D.N.J. 2001) (quoting In re Prudential Ins. Co. of Am. Sales Practices Litig., 148 F.3d 283, 317 (3d Cir. 1998), cert. denied, 525 U.S. 1114 (1999)). In analyzing this factor, the court is to examine the "number and vociferousness of the objectors." Id. (quoting In re General Motors Corp., 55 F.3d at 312).

The class members' reaction to the proposed settlement was overwhelmingly favorable--in fact, there has been universal approval. No class member opted out of the class settlement. No

written objections were filed of record at the time of the Fairness Hearing, and the time allotted for doing so has expired. Given that the class comprises numerous entities, no opt-outs or objections is strong evidence that the settlement is fair and adequate and agreed to by the class.

C. Stage of the Proceedings

This factor "captures the degree of case development that class counsel [has] accomplished prior to settlement. Through this lens, courts can determine whether counsel had an adequate appreciation of the merits of the case before negotiating." In re Cendant, 264 F.3d at 235 (citing In re General Motors Corp., 55 F.3d at 813). The stage of the proceedings is measured in "reference to the commencement of proceedings either in the class action at issue or some related proceeding." In re General Motors Corp., 55 F.3d at 813.

This case was settled at a time when sufficient pre- and post-filing investigations of the applicable law and material facts had occurred so as to put the parties on firm notice of the likely strengths and weaknesses of their respective positions. The parties extensively briefed the issues that were relevant to summary judgment. As such, both the parties and the court are intimately familiar with the issues presented. Yet, this was also the point where the parties have not yet prepared for trial - a complex trial which would undoubtedly cause both sides to

incur massive expenses. In the court's view, this is the time that settlement should be pursued by the parties.

D. Risks of Establishing Liability and Damages

This factor is considered in order to "examine what the potential rewards or downside of litigation might have been had class counsel decided to litigate the claims rather than settle them." In re Cendant, 264 F.3d at 237 (citing In re General Motors Corp., 55 F.3d at 814). The inquiry "requires a balancing of the likelihood of success if 'the case were taken to trial against the benefits of immediate settlement.'" In re Safety Components, 166 F. Supp. 2d at 89 (quoting In re Prudential Ins., 148 F.3d at 319).

There are always very real risks associated with proving liability in such cases. That risk is bolstered in a case that involves an area of relatively novel case law. In such instances, there is always an inherent risk of proving liability. Clearly, settling this claim is in the class's best interest. We also note that because this is a case of first impression for both this court and the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, there is significant legal risk to both sides. As such, this factor weighs strongly in favor of settlement.

As to damages, this factor is similar to the previous factor in that it "attempts to measure the expected value of litigating the action rather than settling it at the current

time." In re Cendant, 264 F.3d at 238 (citing In re General Motors Corp., 55 F.3d at 816). In the normal course, proving damages involves many of the same risks as proving liability because the former is contingent upon the latter.

E. Greater Judgment

The next factor concerns "whether the defendants could withstand a judgment for an amount significantly greater than the Settlement." In re Cendant, 264 F.3d at 240. In this case, it could reasonably be presumed that defendants could withstand a larger judgment; however, the purpose of a settlement is not to bankrupt a defendant but to achieve a fair and reasonable recovery for the class. Accordingly, we find that this factor is largely neutral.

F. The Range of Reasonableness of the Settlement Fund in Light of the Best Possible Recovery and in Light of Litigation Risks

The final Girsh factors we discuss collectively ask whether "the settlement is reasonable in light of the best possible recovery and the risks the parties would face if the case went to trial." In re Prudential Ins. Co., 148 F.3d at 322. The touchstone of this examination is the "economic valuation of the proposed settlement." In re Safety Components, 166 F. Supp. 2d

at 92 (quoting In re Aetna Sec. Litig., No. MDL 1219, 2001 WL 20928 at *11 (E.D. Pa. Jan. 4, 2001)).

The settlement represents a sizable payment from defendants. Indeed, the settlement in this case is for almost \$7 million going directly to the class, plus an additional \$3 million in attorneys' fees and costs. Each member of the class will be eligible to receive between \$5.00 and \$409,000, depending wholly on the amount unpaid by Highmark to that particular provider. Pursuant to the Settlement, the Class Plaintiffs will receive payment of over 60% of their underlying damages, and they have the opportunity to enter into contracts with Highmark to participate as ambulance providers in all of Highmark's benefit programs--not just Security Blue. In light of the expense and risk of proceeding to trial, this is clearly a reasonable amount for the class to accept.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the court is satisfied that every factor considered weighs in favor of approving the settlement. The court notes also that counsel for both sides have been professional and realistic in this case. The parties have been thorough in tracking the procedural requirements for a class action settlement. The submissions of the parties to the court have been invaluable in aiding the court throughout. Indeed, the competence and candor of counsel from both sides in this case

convince the court that this settlement has been achieved at arms' length by counsel both intent on protecting the interests of their clients and in arriving at a fair and adequate settlement. The court does not hesitate to approve this settlement. The appropriate order follows.

- e. Accordingly, this Court hereby approves the settlement as reached by the Named Class Representatives and Highmark in the amount of \$10 million;
- f. That \$10 million settlement fund is to be distributed pursuant to the table set forth in Exhibit A and attached hereto;
- g. The settlement funds, to be paid in the amounts as set forth in Exhibit A, will be paid and transmitted by Highmark beginning no later than August 1, 2006 and completed by no later than August 31, 2006;
- h. The Named Class Representatives, in addition to their respective proportionate share of the settlement fund, shall each receive a \$5,000 payment as an expense reimbursement, to be distributed from the settlement fund;
- i. Class Counsel, Sinclair Kelly Jackson Reinhart & Hayden, LLC, shall receive an award of \$3 million in attorneys' fees for the services it rendered in connection with this action, to be distributed from the \$10 million settlement fund; and
- j. The Caring Foundation, the charity designated by the parties to receive any amounts that would otherwise be payable to now-defunct class members, shall receive \$32,122.52 from the settlement fund.

BY THE COURT:



J. R. L. J.

c: All Counsel of Record

Provider	Total Amount Unpaid by Highmark (1999-2002)	Total Settlement Award (44%)
7th Ward Ambulance		44.00
Aero National	59,621.75	26,233.57
Albion Vol. Fire Dept.	0	0.00
Alpha Community Amb. Svc.	12,751.08	5,610.48
AM Service Ltd.	459.14	202.02
AMB	1,272.84	560.05
Ambulance and Chair Svc.	895.56	394.05
Armed Amb. Svc.	537,530.06	236,513.23
Arnold Volunteer Amb.	227,730.91	100,201.60
Ashville Ambulance	20,808.16	9,155.59
AUMC Canonsburg Amb. Svc.	1,210.53	532.63
Austin Vol. Amb.	115,358.99	50,757.92
Baldwin Emer. Medical Svc.	160.05	70.42
Beaverdale Area Amb.	252,634.87	111,159.34
Bedford Area Amb. Svc.	4,589.25	2,019.27
Belle Valley Fire Department	33,325.21	14,663.09
Bellefonte Emer. Med. Svc.	201.11	88.49
Bellwood Ambulance Association	44.50	19.58
Bentworth Ambulance Service	57,901.49	25,476.66
Berlin Area Ambulance	18,794.93	8,269.77
Blacklick Valley Foundation Amb. Svc.	15,634.75	6,879.29
Bloomfield Fire	28,561.77	12,567.18
Bobtown Dunkard Vol. Fire. Dept. Amb.	5,713.64	2,514.00
Boro of Jefferson Amb.	148.58	65.38
Boswell Vol. Fire Dept.	141,010.60	62,044.66
Braddock Ems	8,199.77	3,607.90
Brentwood Emer. Medical Services	16,654.95	7,328.18
Brookside Vol. Fire. Co.	69,900.97	30,756.43
Brownsville Amb. Svc.	6,573.97	2,892.55
Buffalo Twp. Ems	71,490.32	31,455.74
Butler Amb. Svc.	8,801.89	3,872.83
C and S Amb. Svc. Inc.	145,875.95	64,185.42
Cambridge Area Vol. Amb.	22,218.66	9,776.21
Carmen Ramos DMD 1999	38,309.12	16,856.01
Carnegie Vol. Fire and Rescue	0.00	0.00
	54,037.99	23,776.72

EXHIBIT A

Carrolltown Area Amb. Svc.	3,341.68					1,470.34
Centerville Area Amb. Svc.	765.59					336.86
Chestnut Ridge Amb.	7,701.03					3,388.45
Chicora Fire Dept.	2,871.32					1,263.38
Chicora VFD Ambulance	4,561.33					2,006.99
Citizens Amb. Svc.	549,187.50					241,642.50
Citizens Hose Co. 2	24,321.95					10,701.66
City of Pittsburgh Ems	913,634.16					401,999.03
City of Warren	139.35					61.31
Clairton Vol. Fire Dept.	35,371.30					15,563.40
Clarksville Community Vol. Fire	315.44					138.79
Clarksville Fire Dept.	1,468.40					646.10
Claysburg Amb. Svc. Inc.	34,495.04					15,173.86
Clearfield Ems Inc.	1,085.87					477.78
Clover Hill Vol. Fire	1,241.47					546.25
Community Amb. Svc.	55,803.93					24,553.73
Community Ambulance	5,189.29					2,283.29
Conemaugh Twp. Ems. Inc.	41,549.03					18,281.57
Conemaugh Vol. Fire Dept.	2,276.14					1,001.50
Confluence Community Amb.	7,739.19					3,405.24
Conneaut Lake Area Amb.	31,466.27					13,845.16
Corry Ambulance Svcs Inc.	58,545.45					25,760.00
Coudersport Vol Amb.	130.43					57.39
Cranberry Vol. Amb. Corps	56,293.44					24,769.11
Craneville Vol. Fire Dept.	8,683.83					3,820.89
Cresson Area Amb. Svc.	29,959.25					13,182.07
Ctr for Emer. Medicine	930,356.90					409,357.04
Cumberland Valley Ems	982.65					432.37
Dayton Ambulance	374.23					164.66
Dayton Area Ambulance Svc.	11,564.47					5,088.37
Dobler Hose Ladder AF	12,142.35					5,342.63
Dravosburg Vol Fire Dept. No. 1	2,005.64					882.48
Du Bois Ems Amb Inc	1,096.74					482.57
Duncansville Emer. Me. Svc. Inc.	39,272.70					17,279.99
Duquesne Ems	13,162.28					5,791.40
Duquesne Emer. Med. Svc.	8,531.47					3,753.85
E Brady Area Amb. Svc. Inc.	2,782.68					1,224.38

[illegible]

Gold Cross Ambulance					
Greenfield Fire				48,111.94	21,169.25
Greensboro Mon Twp. Vol. Fire				2,007.83	883.45
Grove City Area Rescue				256.36	112.80
Guardian Angel Amb.				57,186.59	25,162.10
Hampton Twp. Emer. Med. Svc.				64,280.48	28,283.41
Hanover Vol. Fire Dept. Amb. Svc.				66,736.56	29,364.09
Harborcreek Fire Dept.				1,303.69	573.62
Harmony Emer. Med. Svcs. Inc.				15,778.34	6,942.47
Hastings Area Amb.				72,436.59	31,872.10
Health Ride Plus				8,570.99	3,771.19
Heidelberg Vol. Fire Company				12.00	5.28
Hillier Vol. Fire Company				183.62	80.79
Hilltop Amb. Assn.				2,428.15	1,068.39
Holidaysburg American Legion Amb. Svc.				35,313.32	15,537.86
Homestead Emer. Med.				70,588.86	31,059.10
Hose Co. No. 6 Kittanning				28,720.37	12,636.96
Howard's Rexall Drug 1999				71,934.33	31,651.11
Huntington Amb. Div.				0.00	0.00
Hyndman Area Rescue Squad				466.92	205.44
Imler Area Vol. Fire Co. Amb.				2,545.11	1,119.85
Ingram Comm. Emer. Amb. Svc.				7,102.74	3,125.21
Intra Care Inc.				1,214.88	534.55
Irwin VFD Ambulance Co.				113.13	49.78
Jackson Twp. Fire. Co.				19,197.46	8,446.88
Jamestown Vol. Fire Dept.				6,726.35	2,959.59
Jeanette Emer. Med. Svc.				21,783.33	9,584.67
Jefferson County EMS Inc.				19,387.62	8,530.55
Jefferson Vol. Fire Co. Amb.				6,934.91	3,051.36
Jennerstown Vol. Fire Dept.				658.58	289.78
Jerome Vol. Fire Co. Inc.				4,859.99	2,138.40
Kearsarge Fire Dept.				5,866.17	2,581.11
Kecksburg Rescue				2,144.18	943.44
Kirwan Heights VFD				10,418.81	4,584.28
Kuhl Hose				991.21	436.13
Lake City Vol. Fire Dept.				9,901.09	4,356.48
Lake Shore Fire Dept. Inc.				8,895.77	3,914.14
				16,601.45	7,304.64

Lakeview Area Amb. Svc.					4,783.72					2,104.84
Lakeview Medic One					10,264.98					4,516.59
Laurel Valley Ambulance Service					17,453.30					7,679.45
Lawrence Park Vol. F.D.					1,390.49					611.82
Life Force Western PA					261,537.49					115,076.50
Lifestat Amb. Svc.					65,351.85					28,754.81
Lilly Area Amb. Assn.					18,455.80					8,120.55
Lincoln Vol. Fire and Rescue Co. 1					1,337.13					588.34
Linesville Area Amb. Svc.					14,611.71					6,429.15
Lower Burrell 3 VFD					14,732.93					6,462.49
Lower Kiski Amb. Svc.					17,532.94					7,714.49
Lower Valley Amb. Svc.					131,953.70					58,059.63
Mariesburg Vol. Fire					577.29					254.01
Mariesburg Comm. Amb. Svc.					2,379.23					1,046.86
Mars Emergency Med. Svc. Inc.					27,742.90					12,206.88
McCandless Franklin Park Amb. South					149,533.67					65,794.81
McGonigle Amb. Svc. Inc.					157,845.76					69,452.13
McKean Hose					6,077.61					2,674.15
McKean Hose Company					383.11					168.57
McKeesport Amb. Rescue Svc.					190,703.30					83,909.45
Meadville Area Amb. Svc.					22,623.84					9,954.49
Meadville Emerg. Med. Svcs.					10,134.61					4,459.23
Med Van Transport A. Div. L.J.L. Trucking Inc.					2,365.58					1,040.86
Medical Emergency Transport Svc. Inc.					8,462.91					3,723.68
Medical Rescue Team South					340,890.48					149,991.81
Medical Transportation Alliance Inc.					22,255.68					9,792.50
Medtrans Ems Inc.					33,080.41					14,555.38
Menorah Heights Vol. Fire Dept.					52,736.98					23,204.27
Mercy Mobile Care					287,635.98					126,559.83
Metropolitan Emergency Med. Service					3,424.79					1,506.91
Meyersdale Area Amb. Assn.					50,007.91					22,003.48
Mill Village Vol. Fire					1,930.24					849.31
Millcreek Paramedic Svc.					138,630.83					60,997.57
Milroy Fire Co.					366.99					161.48
Mon Valley Emergency Med. Svcs.					265,142.00					116,562.48
Monroeville Emer. Med. Svcs. Inc.					41,970.37					18,466.96
Monroeville Fire Co. No. 1					14,757.24					6,493.19

Monroeville Fire Co. No. 5	16,035.18					7,055.48
Monroeville Fire Co. No. 6	3,408.76					1,499.85
Moshannon Vly Emer. Med. Svc.	805.48					354.41
Mt. Pleasant Ems. Inc.	25,211.91					11,093.24
Munhall Area Prehospital Svc.	30,690.80					13,503.95
Murrysville Medic One	57,352.67					25,235.17
Mutual Aid Ambulance	393,921.79					173,325.59
Namacolin Vol. Fire Dept.	399.81					175.92
New Centerville Rural Vol. Fire	2,918.22					1,284.02
New Galilee Emer. Med. Svcs. Inc.	10,987.62					4,834.55
New Kensington Amb.	70,198.38					30,887.29
New Wilmington Rescue	1,235.34					543.55
Nioga Ambulance Svc. Inc.	374,971.28					164,987.36
North East Fire Dept.	90,732.48					39,922.29
North Huntingdon Twp. Vol. Rsc.	101,851.46					44,814.64
North Versailles Ems	216.48					95.25
North Versailles Sunset Central VFC	22,236.93					9,784.25
Northern Ems (Provider #217143)	17,022.82					7,490.04
Northern Ems (Provider #243804)	34,948.21					15,377.21
Norvelt Emer. Med. Svc.	16,088.53					7,078.95
Oklahoma Vol. Fire Dept. No. 1 (Provider #283162)	20,125.77					8,855.34
Oklahoma Vol. Fire Dept. No. 1 (Provider #292043)	3,158.68					1,389.82
Oklahoma Vol. Fire Dept. No. 1 (Provider #298185)	221.82					97.60
Out of State Care Out Provider 2000	0.00					0.00
Parkview Volunteer Fire Dept.	22,049.03					9,701.57
Parkway ATS Inc.	225.78					99.34
Passport Health	0.00					0.00
Patton Area Ambulance Assoc.	4,702.69					2,069.18
Penn Hills Emer. Med. Svc.	138,681.81					61,020.00
Penns Twp. Amb. Assoc. Rescue 6	56,558.42					24,885.70
Pennsylvania Med. Transport Inc.	254,290.88					111,887.99
Perry Hwy Hose Co.	36,525.77					16,071.34
Perryopolis Area Amb. Svc.	22,560.21					9,926.49
Peters Twp. VFW 764 Amb.	67,461.77					29,683.18
Petrolia Vol. Fire Dept. Amb. Svc. (Provider #200247)	13,990.26					6,155.71
Petrolia Vol. Fire Dept. Amb. Svc. (Provider #299329)	394.88					173.75
Pinkerton Ambulance Svc.	126,618.29					55,712.05

Pitcairn Ambulance Assoc.	1,245.88					548.19
Plateau Fire	2,373.47					1,044.33
Plum Emer. Med. Svc. Inc.	76,970.61					33,867.07
Point Marion VFD Ambulance	658.49					289.74
Polk Fire and Rescue	175.20					77.09
Port Matilda Amb. Svc.	161.14					70.90
Portage Area Amb. Assn.	7,549.58					3,321.82
Portersville Vol. Rescue Ems	7,865.76					3,460.93
Prestige Med. Transport Svc.	54,017.10					23,767.52
Priority Care Ambulance	2,600.18					1,148.04
Priority One Medical Transport	126,318.44					55,580.11
Prism Health Svcs.	91,719.93					40,356.77
PRN Health Svc. Transport	448.62					197.39
Prospect Vol. Amb. Svc.	1,366.22					601.14
Pulaski Twp. Fire Dept.	545.59					240.06
Quaker Valley Amb. Auth.	102,357.76					45,037.41
Quality Emer. Medical Svc. Inc.	48,421.95					21,305.66
R.C. Krissinger, MD 1999	0.00					0.00
Raystown Ambulance	24,668.55					10,854.16
Reade Area Amb.	208.09					91.56
Rennerdale VFD	11,103.42					4,885.50
Rescue 14 Ems	12,490.92					5,496.00
Rices Landing VFD Amb.	444.12					195.41
Rices Landing Vol. Fire Dept.	615.45					270.80
Richhill Twp. Vol. Fire	253.72					111.64
Richland EMS	49,848.15					21,933.19
Roaring Spring Amb. Svc.	54,595.44					24,021.99
Robinson Emergency Medical Services	94,953.20					41,779.41
Rockwood Vol. Fire Dept. Inc.	901.70					396.75
Ross West View EMSA	266,898.77					117,435.46
Rostraver Emer. Med. Svc.	166,682.73					73,340.40
Roulette Chemical Engine 1	190.72					83.92
Russell Vol. Fire	652.95					287.30
Salisbury Area Amb.	2,130.93					937.61
Sandin Health Service	63,685.97					28,021.83
Sandy Lak Vol. Fire Rescue Co.	340.45					149.80
Saxton Fire Co. Amb. Div. (Provider #284393)	61,330.18					26,985.28

Saxton Fire Co. Amb. Div. (Provider #285537)	2,542.46	1,118.68
Scott Twp. Ems.	42,505.78	18,702.54
Seneca Area Emer. Svcs.	77,461.59	34,083.10
Sewickely Twp. Comm. Amb.	10,242.40	4,506.66
Shaler Area Emer. Med. Svcs. Corp.	142,967.66	62,905.77
Shawnee Valley Amb. Svc.	8,868.12	3,901.97
Sheffield Volunteer Fire Dept.	870.48	383.01
Shipperville Elk Twp. Vol. Amb. Svc.	581.33	255.79
Six Mile Run Area Vol. Fire	4,023.46	1,770.32
Slippery Rock Rescue Tm.	10,699.08	4,702.76
Solomon Run Road Vol. Fire Co.	15,443.13	6,794.98
Somerset Area Amb.	51,343.09	22,590.96
South New Kensington VFD	3,229.08	1,420.80
South Suburban EMS	233.70	102.83
South Suburban WC Van	0.00	0.00
Southbridge EMS	109,512.66	48,185.57
Southern Cove Ems	6,172.70	2,715.98
Southern Tier Ems Inc.	2,427.40	1,068.06
Spartansburg Vol. Fire Dept. Amb. Svc.	2,047.19	900.76
Springdale Amb. Svc.	2,013.26	885.83
Springdale Vol. Fire DP	3,234.01	1,422.96
Stanciliff Hose Co.	931.25	409.75
Steel Valley Ambulance Inc.	51,334.02	22,586.97
Suburban Transport Svc. Inc.	30.85	13.57
Sugarcreek Twp. Amb. Svc. Inc.	27,154.01	11,947.76
Summerville Vol. Fire Assoc. Inc.	75.69	33.30
Summit Twp. Area Ambulance	5,264.17	2,316.23
Superior Ambulance Svc. Inc.	96,902.05	42,636.90
Tidoute Vol. Fire	72.86	32.06
Tionesta Ambulance Svc.	225.74	99.33
Townville Vol. Ambulance Service	34.72	15.28
Trafford Emer. Med. Svc.	1,615.41	710.78
Transcare PA	1,164.80	512.51
Transcare Pennsylvania Inc.	825,013.28	363,005.84
Tri-Community Ambulance Svc.	27,866.77	12,261.38
Tri-Community South Ems	329,159.21	144,830.05
Tri-Community Amb.	41,162.38	18,111.45

[illegible]